

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 09/964,402 | 09/28/2001 | Minoru Shibata | P 283723 T36-137931M/AIO | 2355 |
| 75 | 590 08/20/2003 | | | |
| McGinn & Gibb, PLLC | | | EXAMINER | |
| 8321 Old Court Suite 200 | | | SILBERMAN | N, JOANNE |
| Vienna, VA 22182-3817 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3611 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DL ATMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

DATE MAILED:

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SFRIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

| Ŕ | THE | E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: | | |
|----------|---------------|--|--|---|
| a) | Ø | is extended to run | or continues to run <u>3 meg.</u> from the date of the final rejection | |
| b) | | expires three months from the date of the event however, will the statutory period for | e final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no or the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. | |
| | | Any extension of time must be obtained to The date on which the response, the peti purposes of determining the period of extensions. | by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. tition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the tension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR tension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR tension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR tension and the corresponding amount of the fee. | |
| | Арр | ellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 | 7 CFR 1.192(a). | |
| X | Appl to pl | icant's response to the final rejection, filed ace the application in condition for allowa | d Y. 5. 03 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed , | |
| 1. [| 2 (1 | he proposed amendments to the claim a | nd /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: | |
| | ŧ | a. There is no convincing showing und presented. | der 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier | |
| | ŧ | o. They raise new issues that would re | quire further consideration and/or search. (See Note). | |
| | | :. They raise the issue of new matter. | | |
| • | d | They are not deemed to place the appeal. | application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | |
| | е | . They present additional claims with | out cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | |
| | N | OTE: In claim 19, the "front" surface a consideration | character portions now provided on the would require further search and | |
| 2 | N th | ewly proposed or amended daimse non-allowable claims. | would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling | |
| 3. (X | CI | pon the filing an appeal, the proposed am e as follows aims allowed | nendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will | |
| 棉 | CI | aims rejected: 1-16, 19, 22 | 0 | |
| .* | | However; Applicant's response has overcome the | e following rejection(s): | |
| i. 🗀 | Th | e affidavit, exhibit or request for reconside | eration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because | |
| | The | e affidavit or exhibit will not be considered esented. | because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier | |
| The | pro | posed drawing correction has | has not been approved by the examiner | |
|] Oth | | | Jame relliman | ر |
| | | | JOANNE SILBERMANN PRIMARY EXAMINER | |

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)